



[OFFICIAL] MINUTES OF MEETING

Garthamlock, Craigend & Gartloch Community Council Ordinary Meeting

Date:	Tuesday 30 th January 2024
Time:	6:00 PM
Location:	GESH, 1 Redcastle Square, Glasgow, G33 5EG
Community Councillors Present:	S. Orr (SO) [Chair], A. McIntosh (AM) [Vice Chair], A. Gray (AG) [Secretary], S. Baldwin (SB) [Treasurer], J. Clark (JC) [Planning & Licensing], M. Ferrie (MF) [Member], A. McNab (AMc) [Member], K. McNulty (KM) [Member], A. McBain (AMcB) [Member]
Others Present:	R. Kelly (RK) [Councillor], S. Greer (SG) [Councillor], 3 Residents (Resident)

1 Welcome, Introductions, Attendance & Apologies

- 1.1 The meeting started at 6:00 PM and a quorum of 4 was present.
- 1.2 (SO): Explained the facilities and fire safety procedures for the building.
- 1.3 (SO): Announced the receipt of apologies from M. Wilkinson **(MW)** [Member], M. Burke **(MB)** [Councillor], T. Boyle **(TB)** [Neighbourhood Liaison Officer] and noted them for the record.
- 1.4 (SO): Summarised the agenda, code of conduct, and urged all in attendance to participate during the meeting.

2 Approval of Previous Minutes

- 2.1 (SO): Invited all present to review, and approve, the previous Ordinary Meeting minutes. The committee unanimously approved the Minutes of Meeting from 28 November 2023 as a true and accurate record.

3 Matters Arising from Previous Minutes

- 3.1 **Action owners (MB/TB):** Engage in discussions with Sevenlochs and GCC NRS department to explore the possibility of installing more bins within the greenspace developed by Sevenlochs between Avenue End Road and Glenraith Road.
Update 17/10/2023: Awaiting feedback from NRS.
Update 28/11/2023: (TB) has agreed to link up with Scott at NRS to obtain further information on the feasibility of installing more bins.
Update 30/01/2024: Email update received from (TB) on 13/12/2023 explaining that there are bins situated to the North end of the greenspace at Mossvale Road (ID: 01363) and halfway down on Glenraith Road (ID: 01359). (TB) would like contact details for the resident who raised the issue, but these are unavailable. GCG CC undertook a walkout which highlighted multiple dog walkers using the greenspace with no bins in the area making it a potential dog fouling hotspot. GCG CC will share recommendations on additional bin placement with (TB) for consideration. ***** Closed. *****
- 3.2 **Action owner (GCG CC):** Submit a Freedom of Information (FOI) request to GCC to gain a comprehensive understanding of the investment levels across all geographical areas within Ward 21, over the last 5 years.
Update 17/10/2023: FOI request pending, item will be looked at this period.
Update 28/11/2023: Police Scotland FOI request has taken priority this period. Draft FOI request regarding funding still under production. (RK) has advised he is able to get us a list of funding reports and will issue to GCG CC in the coming week.
Update 30/01/2024: Draft letter is complete and undergoing final revision. FOI request will be issued to Glasgow City Council prior to the February GCG CC Ordinary Meeting. ***** Open. *****

- 3.3 **Action owners (SG/AMcB):** Discuss the deteriorating condition of the pathway connecting Blacader Drive to Sevenlochs and investigate who the responsible party is for its maintenance.
Update 28/11/2023: (SG) has sent an email to (AMcB) to arrange a suitable date, agreement pending.
Update 30/01/2024: (AMcB) has been unwell over the festive period and will liaise with (SB) out with this meeting to discuss. *** Open. ***
- 3.4 **Action owners (SG/AM):** Determine suitable dates for an in-person walkout with elected members across Garthamlock, Craigend and Cardowan Moss.
Update 28/11/2023: No update.
Update 30/01/2024: (SG) has reached out to arrange a walkout but this is on hold due to (AM) holiday commitments. (SG) is happy to wait until a suitable time is available or attend site with another Community Councillor. *** Open. ***
- 3.5 **Action owner (AM):** Contact Scottish Fire and Rescue to enquire about AED and CPR training availability for local residents. AM suggested Dominican Hall as a possible venue for training since no events have been held in Craigend thus far.
Update 28/11/2023: Scottish Fire and Rescue have asked for an indication of the number of residents/community groups interested in the training to determine how many sessions would be required. Sessions are currently proposed for Jan/Feb 2024 so an engagement exercise will be required before then. GCG CC will also require information on any rent payable for the sessions for Community Council approval.
Update 30/01/2024: Engagement exercise has been undertaken through Facebook/in person visits with local community groups. Further information on hall requirements pending. *** Open. ***
- 3.6 **Action owner (SO):** Produce a one sheet list of key contacts to be readily available for any residents who attend future meetings, and do not have access to apps/social media, so that everyone in the community has a voice.
Update 30/01/2024: A key contact list for reporting common local issues/concerns has been created and is readily available for local residents and community groups. *** Closed. ***
- 3.7 **Action owner (SB/AG):** Download all previous bank statements and issue to secretary mailbox for filing and close previous bank account.
Update 30/01/2024: All bank statements have been issued to the secretary mailbox and will be reviewed for completeness. Bank account closure pending until all remaining funds can be transferred to the new Community Council bank account. *** Open. ***
- 3.8 **Action owner (GCG CC):** Create a letter to highlight concerns to Voyage Care in relation to plans for future communication with residents, a contact point for residents' queries, tree replanting strategies, a programme of works, land access and ecology survey information.
Update 30/01/2024: Letter issued to Voyage Care on 12/12/2023 highlighting all concerns raised and subsequently forwarded to all Community Councillors and Elected Members. Voyage Care emailed on 21/12/2023 acknowledging some oversights and promised to keep the community/nearby residents informed about future works, which includes green infrastructure. Additionally, they have pledged to ensure agreements are in place before accessing other people's land in future. A mailbox has been established and shared on GCG CC social pages for residents to reach to the developer at: propertydevelopment@voyagecare.com *** Closed. ***
- 3.9 **Action owner (AG):** Draft an email highlighting the recent unsafe parking on Porchester Street and issue to email address for Police Scotland (Easterhouse).
Update 30/01/2024: Email issued to Police Scotland on 10/12/2023 highlighting unsafe parking concerns raised by residents. (RK) advised there is a paper being written up regarding a review of streets where on street parking violations are enforced. *** Closed. ***
- 3.10 **Action owners (SO/SB):** Submit a stationery order up to the amount of £30.
Update 30/01/2024: Stationery was purchased on 26/01/2024 totaling £28.10. An email with the receipt and inventory of items bought has been issued to (SB) for record keeping. *** Closed. ***
- 3.11 **Action owners (SO/RK):** Discuss previous Community Safety initiative with Elected Members/Police Scotland to find out who is responsible for this fund and formally request if we can transfer the funds

to both Community Councils (Garthamlock and Craigend) and (Ruchazie). Fund amount is unknown at this time.

Update 30/01/2024: The group's name has been confirmed as 'Ruchazie & Craigend Resident Action Group'. (RK) has agreed to engage with the inactive group to discuss redistributing remaining funds/donations to other groups within the area to serve the wider community. Feedback will be provided by (RK). ***** Open. *****

- 3.12 **Action owner (GCG CC):** Issue a letter to Elected Members to formally document concerns which have been raised and reiterate funding proposals for Garthamlock, Craigend and Gartloch.

Update 30/01/2024: Letter issued to all Elected Members, and shared with Community Councillors, on 14/12/2023 highlighting previous concerns raised and potential areas for funding within Garthamlock, Craigend and Gartloch. (SG) and (RK) advised the letter has been circulated internally and will be discussed at the February 2024 Area Partnership meeting. ***** Open. *****

- 3.13 **Action owner (SO):** Update GCG CC website and social media to inform residents that there will be no meeting held in December 2023.

Update 30/01/2024: Website and social media updated on 12/12/2023 to inform the community that there would be no December 2023 meeting. ***** Closed. *****

4 Officer Updates & Reports

Chair/Vice Chair

- 4.1 (SO): Microsoft Teams meeting was held with GCC Planning team to coordinate a drop-in session for the Greater Easterhouse SDF Consultation at GESH on 29/01/2024 between 1pm – 3:30pm for the residents of Garthamlock, Craigend and Gartloch as no consultations have taken place outside of Easterhouse.
- 4.2 (SO): Email received from (SG) highlighting funding opportunities which included – the 'Local Place Plan Development Fund' to prepare spatial plans and land use aspirations within our boundary area. A grant application was submitted to this fund on 22/01/2024 and a decision will be given February 2024.
- 4.3 (SO): The North East Area Partnership (NEAP) grant awarded in November 2023 for wellbeing activities remains uncleared in our bank account. Upon investigation, the GCC Grant Support team discovered that GCC used bank details not listed on our grant application resulting in the grant being sent to our old bank account. BACs application form was subsequently issued to GCC to ensure correct bank details are held on their system.
- 4.4 (SO): A grant application has been submitted to NEAP for consideration of a 2024 GCG CC summer fair under the refreshed budget. This decision was made due to the need for advance booking with vendors, suitable planning time, and due to ongoing requests from residents for the event to be held again.
- 4.5 (SO): A community litter pick event was held in Craigend on 27/01/2024, lasting for an hour and a total of 20 bags of litter were collected. While we welcomed (SG) and a couple of additional residents, more volunteers are required to participate in future events to make them sustainable as most attendees are Community Councillors. The event was heavily advertised via social media, website, local shops and (KM) distributed flyers in person to households.
- 4.6 (SO): In the last 3 months, there have been a total of 73 reported infrastructure faults. These include issues like non- functioning lights, potholes, poor road surfacing, flooding, blocked drains, instances of fly tipping and litter problems. Community Councillors have been encouraged to submit at least 2 valid infrastructure faults via the MyGlasgow App or telephone each month to improve the local area and maintain accurate statistics of local conditions.

Secretary

- 4.7 Keep Scotland Beautiful have issued the Community Council with a certificate for our work in 2023. Re-registration is required if we wish to continue participating and the instructions should be sent out in the coming weeks.
- 4.8 A resident and (TB) emailed suggesting GCG CC promotes the 'LitterLotto' which is a joint initiative with GCC and Keep Scotland Beautiful to encourage more people to develop good habits and bin their litter responsibly. People aged 18+ can download the free LitterLotto app and upload a

photo binning rubbish via the app's camera function to enter a free cash prize draw. People can enter as many times as they like, as long as it is different litter each time deposited into a GCC street bin. Initiative has since been shared on GCG CC social media and website.

4.9 A resident sent in an email expressing thanks for addressing overgrown moss and vegetation along a pathway at the bottom of Jerviston Road which had previously led to an elderly resident slipping. Resident was advised that one of GESH's community groups cleared the area. Additionally, concerns were raised regarding poor lighting on the pathway in this area leaving residents feeling unsafe at night.

4.10 Occasionally grants become available with very short notice for submission.

Motion: Community Councillors consent that for such short-term grants, applications can be submitted to address urgent community needs without prior discussion.

Decision: Approved.

Treasurer

4.11 Provided a full financial statement, see **Appendix A**.

4.12 Noted that the annual administration budget is lower this year.

Action owners (SB/SG): (SG) has agreed to investigate why the administration budget appears lower than previous years and will feed back to (SB). ***** Open. *****

Planning & Licensing

4.13 Application Reference: **23/03047/FUL**

Proposal: Erection of residential development (8 units) and associated works. Site of Bishoploch Homes at Hamlet F (former Gartloch Hospital).

Last Date for Comments: 12/02/2024.

Target Date for Decision: 08/03/2024.

4.14 Application Reference: **23/03079/FUL**

Proposal: Erection of conservatory to rear of dwellinghouse. Site at Guildford Street Glasgow.

Last Date for Comments: 09/02/2024.

Target Date for Decision: 12/02/2024.

4.15 Application Reference: **30749100 (Pre-Planning Consultation)**

Proposal: Proposed Base Station Installation on Gartloch Road.

Location: (W3Ws: oppose.topped.tight).

Last Date for Comments: 07/02/2024.

Action owners (JC/AG): Review feedback from residents on the proposal and issue a formal response to Cornerstone Mobile Infrastructure Services prior to the deadline of 07/02/2024.

***** Open. *****

Area Partnership

4.16 No update, next meeting scheduled for 09/02/2024.

5 Elected Member Updates

Councillor R. Kelly

5.1 **Craigend 'Doo Huts'** – Site has been visited by (RK) and Police Scotland with a recommendation that the huts are left as is. (RK) is not satisfied with this recommendation and is exploring avenues for funding to get the huts removed and prevent a recurrence.

5.2 **Youth Club Provision** – GCC Communities Team are looking for funding to get a youth group programme set up within the area. One issue that has been raised is the availability of space for activities and requires further investigation.

5.3 **Bin Collections** – Known issue with tenement bin collections due to sickness and reallocation of GCC workers over the festive period. (Resident) noted that communal bins on Gartloch Road have not been emptied. (RK) advised there is a backlog of collections, but these are currently being actioned.

Councillor M. Burke

- 5.4 Apologies issued; no updates provided.

Councillor S. Greer

- 5.5 **Litter Pick** – Expressed gratitude for the community litter picks but is dissatisfied with the level of effort by GCC in relation to street cleanups.
- 5.6 **Redcastle Square Lighting** – Noted that the street lighting within Redcastle Square, Garthamlock is not operational.
Action owner (SG): Investigate who is the responsible party for the lighting in Redcastle Square and request for their repair. ***** Open. *****

6 Consultations

Your Police 2023/2024

- 6.1 Survey is now open and closes 31/03/2024. This can be completed online however paper copies will be available for Community Councillors, residents and local community groups who would like to provide feedback.

Greater Easterhouse Strategic Development Framework

- 6.2 Questionnaire is now open and closes 05/02/2024. This can be completed online however several Community Councillors have access to paper copies which can be distributed to community groups and residents.
- 6.3 (SO): Provided all in attendance a copy of the paper questionnaire to add to feedback already gathered from residents and Community Councillors for formal submission to GCC. See **Appendix B** for a copy of GCG CC's formal responses.
- 6.4 (AG): Advised that GCC Planning team will be issued with a copy of the Consolidated Local Consultation Report produced by GCG CC in 2023 to provide further information on the concerns and priorities of the community.
Action owner (AG): Issue a copy of Consolidated Local Consultation Report to GCG Planning Team to highlight local priorities/concerns. ***** Open. *****

7 Current Local Issues & Public Input

- 7.1 (Resident): Has reported a light out on Mossvale Road numerous times since last year with no further action taken. All in attendance agreed that there should be a method of escalation for such issues within the MyGlasgow app. (RK) advised that the best method of escalation for such issues is contacting your Local Councillor.
- 7.2 (Resident): Noted that there have been several instances of reporting faults via the MyGlasgow app with reports being closed out but no action has been taken to rectify the reported issue.

8 Any Other Business (AOB)

- 8.1 (AM): Late night, unmanned skips at the Queenslie recycling centre could act as a possible deterrent for fly-tipping. (RK) advised that this would likely lead to commercial businesses exploiting this facility but also highlighted that the recycling centre is being upgraded over the next few years to a 'reuse and recycle' centre.
- 8.2 (MF): The Community Payback Team now operating through GESH, Garthamlock, is available to assist with local issues such as litter, graffiti, street clearing etc. Residents and local community groups can contact GESH directly with any issues that the group could support with. (SO) expressed thanks but reiterated that reports of such incidents should still go to GCC directly in the first instance.
- 8.3 (AM): The local Scouts would like to participate in future Community Council led litter pick events.
- 8.4 (AM): Raised concerns about how funding awards are granted through NEAP. The current trend appears to be on a 'first come, first served basis', however (AM) suggested that previous awards should be taken into consideration during the award granting process allowing groups who have had limited to no awards within the financial year to take priority.

- 8.5 (SO): Wheatley Homes Glasgow have been contacted to join the Community Council as associate members, but no response has been received so far.
- 8.6 (AMcB): Raised concerns regarding the status of the listed buildings in Gartloch Village. New developments are currently proposed/approved with no mention of listed building refurbishments.
Action owners (JC/AG): Submit a letter to GCG Planning team in relation to the proposed development within Gartloch Village (23/03047/FUL) and highlight that consideration of the listed building refurbishments must be taken into account during the approval review. The proposed development may not directly address the listed buildings refurbishment but could negatively impact any planned works within the area if scheduled to be undertaken during the proposed refurbishment works. ***** Open. *****
- 8.7 (JC): The free community Zumba event has been booked in to run for 8 weeks from GESH Community Centre, every Monday from 5:30pm. Flyers have been produced, distributed within community venues and the event has been heavily advertised through our social media platforms and website.

9 Declare Date of Next Meeting & Meeting Close

- 9.1 The next Ordinary Meeting will be held on 27 February 2024, 6:00 PM – 7:30 PM at GESH Community Centre, 1 Redcastle Square, Glasgow, G33 5EG.
- 9.2 Agenda will be circulated at least 7 days prior to the next meeting.
- 9.3 Apologies to be submitted via email to secretaryGCG.CC@gmail.com
- 9.4 The meeting closed at 7:30 PM.

Appendix A

Garthamlock, Craigend & Gartloch Community Council Management Accounts			
Bank balances as of 31st August 2023	£ 328.96		
	Year to Date (Sept-Jan)	Projected (Feb- August)	Total Projected for Year (Sept- Aug)
INCOME			
Glasgow City Council - Discretionary budget	£ 500.00	£ -	£ 500.00
CJ Lang & Sons (Spar) Donation	£ 500.00	£ -	£ 500.00
Glasgow City Council - Annual Administration budget	£ 662.17	£ -	£ 662.17
Glasgow City Council - Area Partnership Funds	£ 1,117.76	£ -	£ 1,117.76
Bank Interest	£ 4.87	£ -	£ 4.87
TOTAL INCOME	£ 2,784.80	£ -	£ 2,784.80
EXPENDITURE			
Rent costs	£ -	£ 500.00	£ 500.00
Insurance	£ -		£ -
Professional Fees (accountant)	£ -		£ -
Subscriptions	£ -		£ -
Travel Expenses	£ -		£ -
Micro Grants		£ -	£ -
Grant for Yoga £340 + £160 rent (holding for group)	£ 500.00		£ 500.00
Admin - Publication of Minutes & Agenda			£ -
Admin - Publication of Newsletters/Flyers		£ -	£ -
Stationery	£ 28.10		£ 28.10
Photocopying		£ -	£ -
Postage			£ -
Telephone costs			£ -
Other expenses			£ -
Website		£ -	
Other expenditure			£ -
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	£ 528.10	£ 500.00	£ 1,028.10
CASHFLOW (INCOME MINUS EXPENDITURE)	£ 2,256.70	-£ 500.00	£ 1,756.70
CASH POSITION	£ 2,585.66	£ 2,085.66	£ 2,085.66

GREATER EASTERHOUSE SDF QUESTIONNAIRE PROPOSAL – FINAL DRAFT

The Draft Greater Easterhouse Strategic Development Framework (SDF) is a plan for the long-term development of the Greater Easterhouse area.

This strategy is for everyone interested in improving and investing in the area. The goal is to create a healthy and high-quality place.

By working together, sharing knowledge and resources, we aim to significantly improve the district. The SDF aims to provide a 30-year vision and plan for regeneration and involve all stakeholders in the process.

We want to develop brownfield sites for new homes, improve walking and cycling infrastructure, and public transportation. The SDF includes an action plan to be implemented over time. After initial community engagement, a draft of the SDF is ready for feedback through a survey.

The SDF envisions a thriving, sustainable, liveable, and socially inclusive community in Greater Easterhouse by 2035. It suggests four themes for action:

- Supporting the Local Economy,
- Enhancing Place Quality and Liveability,
- Enhancing Connectivity and Accessibility, and
- Delivering a multifunctional open space network for the benefit of people, nature and climate resilience.

The deadline for taking part is Tuesday 5th February 2024.

If you have not already done so, please look at the draft SDF [here](#)

If you require any additional information about this survey please contact:

Neighbourhoods, Regeneration and Sustainability
Glasgow City Council
231 George Street,
Glasgow,
G1 1RX
Email:

The following survey contains summaries of key sections of the document. However, to be able to fully understand what the SDF is saying, please look at the full document.

GDPR

Glasgow City Council is now required to process personal information in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). For more information about this please visit [here](#).

Alternative Formats

This information can be made available in a variety of alternate formats and community languages on request. If you would like more information about this or would like to request an alternative format or language, please contact NRSPIMU@glasgow.gov.uk

OFFICIAL

Have you read the draft Greater Easterhouse Strategic Development Framework?

- Yes, all of it
 Yes, some of it
 No
 Don't know/Can't remember

Are you responding to this survey as:

- Resident of Greater Easterhouse
 Member of the public not residing in Greater Easterhouse
 Councillor / MSP / MP
 Community Group/Organisation/Community Council
 Key Agency / Other Public Body
 Developer / Landowner / Agent Other (please specify)

Please write the name of the Key Agency or other Public Body in the space below.*

VISION, PRIORITIES AND OUTCOMES

Previous Public Engagement

Over the last ten years, several community-engagement exercises have taken place across Greater Easterhouse with the aim of increasing communities' influence over their area. These have been undertaken in partnership with key stakeholders and partners. These have included the EasterhouseCharrette, work by Thriving Places in Easterhouse, Springboig and Barlanark, and by key partners such as the 7 Lochs Partnership and Local Housing Associations.

The following spatial issues for the area have been identified through the previous public consultation exercises in Greater Easterhouse, including The Easterhouse Charrette.

Issue 1: The Town Centre and The Lochs Shopping Centre

The Easterhouse Charrette identified the following aims for the Town Centre:

- To invest and develop the Lochs Shopping Centre
- To undertake development and investment works to the wider Town Centre
- To establish stakeholder engagement
- To review and establish sustainable management of The Lochs centre
- To establish business and enterprise support

A town centre first approach to development in Greater Easterhouse could bring forward place-mending that could include the reconfiguration and improvement of The Lochs shopping centre

OFFICIAL

and the realisation of development opportunities on adjacent brownfield land for house building.

Issue 2: Vacant and Derelict Land

Urban blight, in the form of longstanding vacant and derelict land (VDL) throughout Greater Easterhouse poses a particular issue for the Greater Easterhouse SDF area.

We would like to see these sites developed as land for new housing, land for new businesses, and open spaces for a variety of temporary and permanent projects.

These sites form the basis for place-making and place-mending in the district. They can be used to further develop the urban structure of Greater Easterhouse, shape growth and harness its positive effects for the City Region, as well as delivering Liveable Neighbourhoods for and with local people.

Issue 3: Community Growth Areas (CGAs)

Community Growth Areas are locations for large scale planned urban expansion in the green belt, to accommodate the demand for new housing, particularly for families, and associated facilities that could not be met in the established housing land supply within the City. The City Development Plan identifies 3 CGA areas located within the boundary of the Seven Lochs Wetland Park:

- Lochend
- Heatheryknowe
- Gartloch

The CGAs will include not only housing, but other facilities that may be required to support both the new and existing populations, such as shops, schools, leisure facilities and open space.

We recognise that a master planned approach is needed for these areas to ensure they integrate with the existing adjoining neighbourhoods, including Provan Hall, Commonhead, Rogerfield, Lochend and Bishop Loch.

Issue 4: The Seven Lochs Wetland Park and Green Network

The Seven Lochs Wetland Park and Green Network is an important asset in the environmental, social and economic regeneration for the surrounding communities. Investment in the project has the potential to deliver:

- a step change in the health and wellbeing outcomes for local people.
- an exemplar project in natural heritage conservation, addressing both the climate and ecological emergencies.
- a nationally significant and highly rated outdoor visitor attraction which has the potential to bring a wider economic benefit to the Greater Easterhouse area.

Issue 5: Transport Accessibility and Connectivity

A key concern about Greater Easterhouse is that some 75 years since its construction Easterhouse is still referred to as peripheral with negative connotations which has much to do with poor connectivity and over-reliance on bus public transport.

OFFICIAL

VISION

Our Vision is:

Greater Easterhouse will achieve prosperity and a sense of well-being through inclusive economic growth for the benefit of all residents and visitors.

We aim to address inequalities through place-making and by implementing the SDF's sustainable spatial strategy. We will prioritise the delivery of sustainable and resilient development which improves access to public transport, encourages active travel and mitigates the impacts of climate change.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with this Vision. (Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

If you have any comments or ideas about this vision, share them below.

- **Alignment between vision and strategy:** While we support the vision for Greater Easterhouse, it's noted that the strategy primarily focuses on Easterhouse, neglecting outlying neighbourhoods.
- **Inclusive public spaces:** The Community Council fully supports the idea of inclusive public spaces, particularly endorsing the revitalisation of 'The Loch Shopping Centre' to benefit all communities within Greater Easterhouse.
- **Sustainable development:** We emphasise the importance of sustainable development in improving the local community, suggesting future projects incorporate green infrastructure like greenspaces, parks, and child-friendly leisure areas, along with low-maintenance plants and shrubs.
- **Active travel and connectivity:** While we endorsing active travel initiatives, we acknowledge the need for infrastructure improvements, particularly in connecting the Seven Lochs with all communities within Greater Easterhouse, including Craighend, Garthamlock, and Gartloch.

PRIORITIES

Below are our Priorities for Greater Easterhouse, that is, the top 4 areas which are considered to be most pressing to address.

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with these Priorities. (Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

OFFICIAL

Supporting the Local Economy.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

Enhancing Place Quality and Liveability

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

Enhancing Connectivity and Accessibility

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

Delivering a multifunctional open space network

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

If you have any comments or suggestions about the proposed Priorities of the SDF, please use the space below.

- **Supporting the Local Economy:** We fully support investment and development within Easterhouse, particularly in evolving the town centre plan. But, we encourage implementing a robust strategy outlining the desired types and quality of businesses Easterhouse Town Centre wishes to attract which will perhaps promote local improvements in health and address other social factors affecting the community.
- **Supporting the Local Economy:** We suggest strengthening outreach efforts to engage/attract businesses and support social enterprises in surrounding neighbourhoods e.g., Craigend Shops/Gartloch Village, to foster a more inclusive and widely distributed prosperity beyond Easterhouse Town Centre.
- **Enhancing Place Quality & Liveability:** Support for redevelopment of unused land for sustainable housing infrastructure, but emphasis required on diverse housing options to cater to varying needs and preferences.
- **Enhancing Place Quality & Liveability:** We suggest prioritising investment in existing community spaces as well, such as the GESH Community Centre in Garthamlock, which has been serving the community for over 30 years and hosts various support/activity groups and in need of renovation.
- **Enhancing Place Quality & Liveability:** Inclusion of all community members and groups in proposed development discussions is required, beyond just Easterhouse, to ensure the desires of Greater Easterhouse are accurately represented.
- **Enhancing Place Quality & Liveability:** Full endorsement of the provision of greenspace, especially considering the limited availability across Greater Easterhouse, with exception of Seven Lochs.
- **Enhancing Place Quality & Liveability:** It is important to consider local amenities not only in new developments but also within current ones e.g. Gartloch Village and addressing capacity issues in healthcare and education facilities which local residents have issues with.
- **Enhancing Connectivity and Accessibility:** Strong support for fully integrated transportation solutions, such as improved bus services, to address the identified issues and enhance connectivity for residents as residents have expressed concern over inadequate public transport, particularly within Gartloch Village, and poor connectivity between areas within Greater Easterhouse and beyond.

OFFICIAL

THEME AND OUTCOMES

Below are the expected Themes and Outcomes for Greater Easterhouse if the Vision is realised. Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with these being the Themes and Outcomes of the Vision.

(Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

1. **A vibrant Greater Easterhouse:** By 2050, we want Greater Easterhouse to develop into a vibrant place that locals love and that brings economic, social, and health benefits.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

2. **A sustainable Greater Easterhouse:** By 2050, we want Greater Easterhouse to become a more engaged, liveable, inclusive and distinctive place.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

3. **A connected Greater Easterhouse:** By 2050, we aim to have a well-connected Greater Easterhouse where people, places, and communities are linked by safe, accessible and pleasant routes for walking, wheeling, cycling, and by good public transport.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

4. **A green and resilient Greater Easterhouse:** By 2050, Greater Easterhouse will have a network of multifunctional green, blue, and grey open spaces that helps us adapt to climate change and will promote improved health and well-being outcomes.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

If you have any thoughts or ideas about these proposed Themes and Outcomes of the SDF, please share them below.

OFFICIAL

- It is essential for a comprehensive strategy aimed at reshaping the behaviours of both local residents and visitors within the Greater Easterhouse area, such as enhanced crime prevention measures, increased police investment, and the development of engaging activities tailored for children and teenagers. Failure to implement such measures risks undermining the feasibility of achieving desired outcomes outlined in the SDF.

SPATIAL DESIGN STRATEGY

The Spatial Design Strategy has four parts, each linked to one of the four SDF priorities. Each part has actions that help with planning, design, and making decisions for development projects.

- ✓ **Would you like to share your thoughts on the four Themes and/or the detailed Action Plan?**
- ✓ I would like to give my views only on the general areas of interest under each Priority (approximately 5 minutes)
- ✓ I would like to give my view on the full action plan (approximately 10-15 minutes)
- ✓ I would not like to comment on the Spatial Design Strategy

PRIORITY A | SUPPORTING THE LOCAL ECONOMY

This thematic section of the spatial design strategy aims to unlock investment and development opportunities in the Town Centre and in business locations. The ‘Supporting the Local Economy’ theme has four areas of focus.

Please indicate how important or unimportant these are to you.
(very important to not important at all)

OFFICIAL

1. To attract new businesses

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

2. To promote local social enterprise

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

3. To support skill-building

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

4. To deliver inclusive growth

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following actions proposed:

A.1 - We will work with key partners to continue to review and implement the Easterhouse Town Centre Charrette Delivery Plan. The SDF promotes a master planned approach to investment in TheLochs.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.2- Find and apply for funding to improve public spaces in the town centre. The SDF sets the context for opportunities to apply for funds to make much-needed changes in Easterhouse Town Centre.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.3- Keep looking for funding that helps social/commercial enterprises and unlocks residential development for overall regeneration as a sustainable neighbourhood.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

OFFICIAL

A.4- Explore the possibility of bringing in another grocery retailer to offer affordable food choices in the area.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.5- Collaborate with the owners of Glasgow Fort to make improvements to the retail and leisure park.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.6- Glasgow Kelvin College will get planning support to examine how to enhance the college's educational facilities and make active travel and environmental improvements within the campus.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.7- Support the development of Economic Development Strategies to deliver job opportunities, a nicer environment, and better connections in Queenslie and Glasgow Business Park.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.8- Support making neighbourhoods better to live in and improve walking and cycling through the delivery of the Liveable Neighbourhoods programme and the Active Travel Strategy. We will explore funding opportunities for infrastructure investment.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.9- Keep working with others on the Clyde Metro project to make transformational changes and improve connections to jobs.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

If you have any comments or suggestions about the proposed Actions for Connected please use the space below.

OFFICIAL

- Lack of recognition regarding potential business prospects outside the town centre, failing to address opportunities in surrounding neighbourhoods.
- Easterhouse Town Charette receives disproportionate focus, overlooking consultation efforts beyond its immediate vicinity, with confirmed absence of involvement from other community groups such as Garthamlock, Craigend, and Gartloch residents.
- Residents in peripheral neighborhoods express a sense of disconnection from Easterhouse and its associated events, indicating a need for broader engagement and inclusion efforts.
- In the pursuit of funding initiatives aimed at enhancing local spaces, it is essential to include smaller community groups. This inclusive approach ensures their active participation in the journey, fostering the potential for incremental improvements within their respective communities simultaneously.
- While endorsing the Clyde Metro project, it's crucial to note the absence of consideration within current plans regarding its potential location. This aspect is essential to address before releasing all vacant land for housing developments, as the oversight could jeopardise the viability of the project.

PRIORITY B | ENHANCING PLACE QUALITY AND LIVEABILITY

This thematic section of the spatial design strategy promotes local living and sustainable urban design measures to achieve positive health and well-being outcomes for residents. The 'Enhancing Place Quality and Liveability' theme has five areas of focus which address key spatial concerns.

Please indicate how important or unimportant these are to you.

1. To make sure we build infrastructure and housing that meet the needs of the local community in a sustainable way.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

2. To fix and improve the overall structure of the urban area, encourage the development and use of spaces that are currently not being used much and make the quality of the area better.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

OFFICIAL

3. To strengthen communities and places so they can bounce back from challenges and include everyone. This involves creating a plan that works together with local agencies to make sure the area is well-designed and thriving.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

4. To make sure everyone has fair access to services, amenities, activities, and green spaces, improving the overall quality of life in the local community.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

5. To ensure that the Community Growth Area integrate with the existing adjoining neighbourhoods and that they provide access to facilities that are required to support both the new and existing populations, such as shops, schools, leisure facilities and open space.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following Actions proposed.

(Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

A.10 - Explore creating a group of local representatives to share resources and information for better coordination in the district.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.11 - Work with local groups and support their efforts in designing and delivering projects and Local Place Plans in line with SDF objectives.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.13- Promote more visibility and representation of women in local planning and decision-making.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.14- Promote building new houses on brownfield vacant and derelict land, following Glasgow's Local Housing Strategy 2023-28.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.15- Support planning for infrastructure and services, including active travel, transport, and building heating.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

OFFICIAL

A.16- Support and progress the Liveable Neighbourhood Plan for Greater Easterhouse and activetravel projects.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagree

A.17- Support agents/consultants in creating masterplans within Community Growth Area (CGA) sites.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.18- Guide the development of nearby brownfield land in coordination with CGAs.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.19- Explore the feasibility of the Clyde Metro and its potential benefits for CGA areas.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.20- Work with the community to identify and improve important heritage, social, and civic assets.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.21- Support the development and delivery of the City Lighting Strategy with key stakeholders.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.22- Consider gender perspectives in designing spaces like parks, playgrounds, and public areas.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.23- Use a master-planning approach for development in the Town Centre, Cranhill, the CGAs and other areas to support ongoing regeneration.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.24- Promote walking trails linked to music, heritage, arts, food, and drink.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

OFFICIAL

If you have any comments or suggestions about the proposed Actions for Vibrant, Skilled and Healthy please use the space below.

- Gartloch residents cherish their community's rich history and distinctive architecture, expressing a strong commitment to preserving it for generations to come. Despite this, they express discontent with the shortage of play areas available for children.
- The community aims to establish local amenities such as a pub, cafe, and shops to foster social interactions and convenience, enhancing the overall community experience.
- Residents stress the significance of collaborative efforts among community groups to cultivate stronger and more resilient communities.
- Additionally, there is a call for expanded opportunities for children, including the introduction of after-school programs and sports teams, aimed at fostering holistic development and greater community engagement.
- Ensure consultation with schools, parents, and other children's groups to comprehensively consider the requirements for play parks and leisure areas, in order to meet their needs from the outset. Past local developments have encountered issues and misalignment, which have led to unsuccessful outcomes.

PRIORITY C | ENHANCING CONNECTIVITY AND ACCESSIBILITY

This thematic section of the spatial design strategy addresses key accessibility and connectivity concerns

The 'Enhancing Connectivity and Accessibility' theme has three areas of focus. Please indicate how important or unimportant these are to you.

1. To deal with the climate emergency, we should encourage people to walk or cycle, and make sure public transportation is accessible, attractive and convenient. Transportation that is good for the environment should be easy for everyone to use and will help improve health, well-being, and inclusion.

Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

2. To fix the problem of separation and disconnection between neighbourhoods and make sure they are better connected to the larger Glasgow City Region.

Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

3. To work with the Clyde Metro project and make sure it brings big changes to Greater Easterhouse. This includes creating a public transportation system that is good for the environment, helps the economy, and benefits the community.

Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following Actions proposed.

(Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

A.25- Keep working on the City Network Delivery Plan and find funding for it.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.26- With input from local residents, Glasgow City Council will make a Liveable Neighbourhoods plan for better neighbourhoods in Greater Easterhouse and look for funding.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.27- Work with others to achieve the goals of the Glasgow Travel Behaviour Change

OFFICIAL

Strategy and the Glasgow Cycling and Urban Sports Strategy.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.28- Review current walking and cycling options in relation to new developments and planned investments.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.29- Create guidelines for lighting on walking and biking paths as part of the Lighting Strategy.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.30- Make routes better to overcome barriers between neighbourhoods and city infrastructure like motorways and railway lines.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.31- Make public transport stations (bus, metro, train) more accessible across the area.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.32- Look for opportunities for health walks organised by Paths for All and the Third Sector.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.33- Support the design and delivery of Glasgow Transport Strategy proposals in Greater Easterhouse.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.34- Work with key partners to move forward with the Clyde Metro project and align it with SDF goals.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.35- Continue working with the bus partnership and others to make the bus network accessible, efficient, and integrated.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.36- Work with developers and bus operators to connect new residential areas in the green belt to the City's bus network.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

If you have any comments or suggestions about the proposed Actions for Sustainable, Well-managed and Liveable please use the space below.

OFFICIAL

- Residents express dissatisfaction with Gartloch Road, citing inappropriate speed limits for residential areas and traffic volumes, leading to frustration and discontent.
- Concerns extend to bus service timetabling, seen as incompatible with local train schedules, causing inconvenience in daily commutes.
- Dissatisfaction also arises from perceived impracticalities in school catchment areas, resulting in burdensome long-distance travel for families, especially those with young children.
- Residents voice concerns about the need to expand Gartloch Road to accommodate population growth, fearing exacerbation of existing issues.
- Gartloch residents express unhappiness with the unavailability of their nearest doctor's surgery due to postcode restrictions, posing barriers to essential healthcare access.
- Dissatisfaction extends to the lack of pedestrian or cycle access to Glasgow Fort, limiting convenient travel for shopping and leisure activities, raising concerns about overall quality of life.
- Residents are also displeased with the scarcity of community facilities in their area, hindering opportunities for socialisation, learning, and recreation, and potentially impacting community vibrancy and cohesion. They advocate for improved public transport links to enhance accessibility to employment, education, and leisure opportunities, while also addressing concerns about traffic congestion, air pollution, and commuting time.

PRIORITY D | DELIVERING A MULTIFUNCTIONAL BLUE, GREEN AND GREY OPEN SPACE NETWORK

This thematic section of the spatial design strategy of the SDF supports and prioritises the delivery of a connected open space network.

The 'Delivering a multifunctional blue, green and grey open space network' theme has five areas of focus. Please indicate how important or unimportant these are to you.

1. To maximise the opportunities offered by Seven Lochs Wetland Park and Integrated Green Infrastructure projects.

Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

2. To enhance and protects Greater Easterhouse's unique landscape and biodiversity.

Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

OFFICIAL

3. To address the high levels of vacant and derelict land.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

4. To facilitate the repopulation of Greater Easterhouse by unlocking development opportunities.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

5. To contribute to the City's efforts to mitigate the effects of climate change.

- Very important Fairly important Neither important nor unimportant
 Not very important Not important at all

Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following Actions proposed.

(Strongly agree to strongly disagree)

A.46 -Support delivery of the Seven Lochs project in partnership with stakeholders, and promote connections, physical and social, between Greater Easterhouse, the Town centre and the Seven Lochs Park increasing public access and us of the Green Belt.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.37- Work with partner agencies, developers, local communities and local organisations to make green spaces better for nature, people and the environment and, when it makes sense, for the improvement of walking and cycling. Identify and support projects that help with this.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.38- Support the Liveable Neighbourhoods project to create versatile walking and biking paths that also help nature, climate resilience, and biodiversity.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.39- Ask the Scottish Government for money to find ways to use vacant land for things like businesses and homes, as well as green projects.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.40- Support projects that manage surface water to reduce flooding risks.

- Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.41- Review a study from 2016 about Green Infrastructure and use it to plan more projects that make the area better.

OFFICIAL

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.42- Support the Clyde Climate Forest project.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.43- Find and make improvements to open spaces in Greater Easterhouse.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.44- Assess if there are enough places for kids to play in Greater Easterhouse, and future play areas should meet those needs.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.45- Assist the Seven Lochs Partnership get funding for their project.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.46- Support the Seven Lochs Project and improve connections between Greater Easterhouse, the town centre, and Seven Lochs Park for better public access and use of the Green Belt.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

A.47- Support activities in the Water Vole Conservation Action Plan to create and improve water vole habitats and facilitate the building of new homes on brownfields sites. This plan has specific actions, and we will work closely with the Seven Loch Partnership to carry them out and align them with the SDF action plan.

Strongly Agree Agree Neutral Disagree Strongly Disagrees

If you have any comments or suggestions about the proposed Actions for Greener, Cleaner, Resilient please use the space below.

OFFICIAL

- Integration of Sevenlochs investment and connections should also include Garthamlock, Craigend, and Gartloch to ensure equitable benefits for all communities within the greenbelt.
- Water vole conservation must use available vacant land in Easterhouse instead of encroaching on the limited greenspace in Garthamlock or Craigend. Response from residents are that they desire for greater access to outdoor spaces amidst predominant grey infrastructure from recent housing developments within our community.
- Gartloch residents are concerned regarding deteriorating historical structures, safety hazards, declining biodiversity, and poorly maintained footpaths.
- The installation of more electric vehicle charging points and mitigate fears of reduced biodiversity and negative impacts on local wildlife and ecosystems resulting from Gartloch developments.
- Concerns regarding the capacity of the existing road network to accommodate cycling paths amidst high footfall and vehicle presence, particularly with the presence of Glasgow Fort and anticipated increased traffic from the future development of Easterhouse Town Centre.
- Ensure Seven Loch's funding support extends beyond Easterhouse and Gartloch to encompass areas outside these regions, to enable all residents/ visitor to benefit from open spaces, particularly considering poor connectivity in certain areas in Craigend and Garthamlock.

Is there something important that's missing, or do you have thoughts about other parts of the document? Please share your thoughts here.

OFFICIAL

- **Page 12:** Talks about the higher proportion of school children. Many parents in the area need to access schools out-with the catchment area, only one secondary school is shown within our boundary. This will not support further residential development.
- **Page 17:** Talks about recent new schools within Garthamlock but these just replaced what was there. No secondary school in the area since 1996.
- **Page 39:** Is residential development targeted at the current demographic (i.e. social/affordable?). Recent new housing developments have limited affordable housing, which could contribute to housing inequality and exclusion for those on lower incomes. This could lead to displacement, homelessness, or a lack of access to adequate housing for vulnerable groups within the community.
- **Page 44:** Craigend is highlighted as a 'suburban centre' but what does this mean? GESH Garthamlock (local community centre) would be better suited.
- **Page 52:** More effort required to include all areas within Greater Easterhouse for engagement. How will community led development be promoted?
- **Page 85:** What are the road proposals? Developer contribution should be a condition within planning. Common complaint that Gartloch Road (rural section) is at capacity and will not support further housing development, bus routes or access for emergency services.
- **Page 122:** Accidents look insignificant on Gartloch Road (rural section) however many go unreported.
- **General:** The report itself reads like 'Easterhouse Development Framework'.
- **General:** No mention of GESH or other community centres, are there refurbishment opportunities?
- **General:** Lack of adequate road/street signage in many local areas, leading to poor cohesion within the community due to difficulties in navigation and communication.
- **General:** Glasgow City Council must consider accessibility considerations for proposed Clyde Metro access, including ensuring access to stations, lowered curbs, suitable traffic crossings, and appropriate accessibility measures, without solely relying on the railway operator to address these concerns.